```
0001
01
                  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR
02
                   BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
03 CALIFORNIA DESERT DISTRICT, RIDGECREST FIELD OFFICE
04
05
06
07
08
                     SURPRISE CANYON EIS
09
               PUBLIC AND AGENCY SCOPING MEETING
10
11 MEETING DATE: JULY 31, 2002
12 MEETING TIME: 3:00 P.M.
13 MEETING PLACE: BOULDER CREEK RV RESORT CLUBHOUSE
14
                   LONE PINE, CALIFORNIA
15
16
17
18
19
20 Transcribed by: Jill Inman-Ferguson
21
22
23
2.4
25
0002
01
                          APPEARANCES
02
03 Meeting Facilitator:
                               John C. Jostes, AICP
04
                               30 West Mission Street
05
                               Suite 4
06
                               Santa Barbara, California
07
08
                               (805) 687-7032
09
10 General Public Speakers:
                               Michael Dormane
11
                               Kathy Goss
12
                               Chuck Williams
                               John Bard
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0003
01
                     LONE PINE, CALIFORNIA
02
             WEDNESDAY, JULY 31, 2002; 3:05 P.M.
              BOULDER CREEK RV RESORT CLUBHOUSE
03
04
```

05 MR. JOSTES: Okay. I guess we should 06 probably get started.

Okay. My name is John Jostes. I'm an independent facilitator whose been hired my the BLM to 09 help run a series of scoping meetings. And this is the third set of scoping meetings we've had. I'd like to welcome you all, all four of you, here this afternoon.

We're basically holding these meetings to get input from you folks on the issues of focus of the Surprise Canyon environmental impact statement in terms of which issues and what questions should be addressed in that document.

It's also intended to seek input on the 18 full range of alternatives that need to be addressed in the process of evaluating various access alternatives into Surprise Canyon.

This is the first of three classes of 22 opportunity that you all will have to comment on this 23 project or this process. This being the scoping 24 meeting, these meetings are intended to get input so 25 that the draft EIS that's produced is responsive to the 0004

types of issues in the range of the alternatives that 02 you think should be addressed in that document.

Rather than going ahead and just hearing 04 this in a vacuum, agencies -- whether they're federal agencies, state agencies, or local agencies -- have set about a much more practical sense to try to get public input early on so that the issues that are addressed are scoped, are focused to the ones that are important to the folks who have an interest in a particular 10 project.

The second opportunity you'll have for comment is when the draft EIS is prepared and released for public comment. And there will be a series of 14 public meetings -- at least one. I'm not sure how many. But those will be an opportunity to comment on its completeness, accuracy, objectivity, whether it answers the questions that you think are germane to the issues.

And then, finally, after that comment 20 period closes, there will be a protest period following the point in time during which the BLM chooses the preferred alternative. And they will entertain protests from the public as far as your comments on that choice and the basis behind that choice.

Today's meeting is not intended to make a

25 0005

02

07

07

08

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

01

0.3

05

07

08

09

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

01 decision. It's simply intended to solicit input.

I've tried to be as flexible as I can in 03 terms of not holding this as if it were a large public 04 meeting attended by two hundred people. We've got a limited number of folks here today who have taken the time to come out; so we are going to try to make it as informal as we can. At the same time, I'm going to be 08 taking flip-chart notes to kind of get the general

09 concepts down.

10 Jill on my right is a court reporter, and 11 she is preparing a full, written transcript of what 12 goes on so that the BLM can -- can really have the 13 benefit of what's said in each of the meetings 14 verbatim.

So she may interrupt you, or she may interrupt me to slow us down in terms of what we say or question -- what do they call it? -- acronyms that we use as far as abbreviations of things.

But basically, the EIS has been scoped to 20 focus on 10 chief issue areas: Natural resources, 21 cultural resources, geology and soils, water quality 22 and water quantity, air quality and noise, recreation, 23 land use, and transportation, aesthetics and visual 24 resources, economic and social issues, and wild and scenic river issues.

25 0006 01

03

04

09

12 13

14

15

16

17

19 20

23

0007

03

04

06

07

08

14

10

05

15

16

17

18 19

If you think that's not enough, if you got 02 particular issues you think should be addressed in detail, we want to hear from you today about what those might be.

You should have three or four things in 06 your possession -- an agenda, which we're going to follow this afternoon. And -- and on the back of that 08 are some ground rules, which are the basic boundaries for how we are going to interact today.

I use them more with larger groups. 11 But the basic boundaries are: Treat each other with respect; stay focused; share your passion, but focus on the issues you've got to address; and share the relative information you think will make for a better document.

There's also a comment form that's on a light-colored piece of paper. This is to provide any 18 written comment either this afternoon, or you can turn them in, or you can mail them in. As long as they get to the BLM before August 30th, which is the close of 21 the public review period, they'll be considered in the 22 preparation of the draft EIS.

The last piece is the multi-paged 24 background document put out by the Bureau of Land 25 Management on the Surprise Canyon Project; the scoping

01 process, the step, that's for you to take away. It's 02 kind of a general information piece.

What am I forgetting? From this point forward, we'll take all the comments. I will consolidate my comments into a brief scoping report that will kind of cluster the comments we've heard so far and the ones we'll hear at the up-coming series of meetings.

09 We have other afternoon meetings -- a 10 meeting set for Pasadena next Monday afternoon and Monday evening. Have a fifth meeting scheduled for San Diego Tuesday -- next Tuesday afternoon and 13 evening.

And once we complete that, I'll pull

```
together all of my comments. We'll also have the
16 transcripts, and those two together will go to the BLM
17
    so that they can integrate those concerns into their
18 document and get a -- get the public's comments
19 integrated into the environment review process.
20
                So with that, do you have any other
21 comments, Jill?
                MS. INMAN-FERGUSON: It's hard to hear in
2.2
23
    this room. So if you speak a little louder -- I think
    a lot of voices might travel up to the ceiling -- that
 25
    might be helpful.
8000
01
                MR. JOSTES: Okay. So if -- if you would
02 like to make some comments, we'd love to hear from you.
03 If you could state your name and, again, fill out a
    speaker slip so we get your name spelled right, we'll
05
    have at it.
06
                And I hope we have some comments this
07 afternoon.
80
                Any takers.
09
                Yes, sir?
10
                MR. JOHN BARD: I have a question, and I
11
    haven't filled out one of those forms yet.
12
                MS. INMAN-FERGUSON: Sorry. I've got to
    have an identification.
13
14
                MR. JOSTES: Go ahead and state your name.
15
                MS. INMAN-FERGUSON: Sorry.
16
                MR. JOHN BARD: Sure. But I need a form to
17 follow it up.
18
                MS. INMAN-FERGUSON: Your name?
19
                MR. JOHN BARD: My name is John Bard.
20 That's B-a-r-d. And I live in Lone Pine.
21
                And several friends of mine have asked me
22 to attend the meeting because they weren't able to
    come. So I really have a question which was if you're
23
    going to have flip charts, would there be some copy to
25
    be made so that we don't have to take such copious
0009
01 notes to make the presentation to other people who
02 couldn't come to the --
03
                MR. JOSTES: Uh-huh. Uhm, I will be
04 providing my notes to the BLM after we've completed all
    five meetings. So you know, I'm sure they are going to
05
    share it with the public, but I don't know whether
07
    we'll be able to share that at the end of this week or
08 not because I'm -- I'm --
09
                MR. JOHN BARD: An example, you list the 10
10
    areas of concern --
11
                MR. JOSTES: Sure.
12
                MR. JOHN BARD: I couldn't write them all
    down that says -- but if -- if there was just a copy of
13
14
    that -- I can -- people who aren't here, at least they
15
    could say, "Well, did they cover this topic that's a
    concern of ours?"
17
                And I'd say, "Yes."
18
                But this --
19
                MR. JOSTES: I'll make sure you can take
```

```
20 this with you.
21
                MR. JOHN BARD: Thank you very much, John.
 22
                MR. JOSTES: You bet.
23
                Other -- other comments or questions, John,
24 about the process, about the --
25
                MR. JOHN BARD: No. This is an excellent
0010
01 process. And I really think it saves a lot of time.
    So you don't come out with a draft EIS and then someone
0.2
03 blindsides you with concerns.
04
                So I thought the process is excellent.
05 Most of my comments I think will be submitted in
06 writing prior to the August deadline --
07
                MR. JOSTES: Okay.
08
                MR. JOHN BARD: -- as opposed to this
09
    meeting because I'm very open.
10
                MR. JOSTES: Uh-huh.
11
                MR. JOHN BARD: And I'm looking for factual
12
    information because my last impression is that after --
13
    what was it? -- about a year ago, there was a huge
    washout in this area, and I don't have any vehicles
15
    that can even approach getting up that thing in its
16
    present state.
17
                MR. JOSTES: Uh-huh.
18
                MR. JOHN BARD: So I would like to know if
19 you have engineering analysis of what is possible in
20 addition to all the other environmental impacts. I'd
21 be interested in knowing what can be done.
                MR. JOSTES: Any thoughts on a particular
22
 23 alternative that you think should be covered?
                MR. JOHN BARD: I don't want to appear that
 24
25 \, I'm one of the really passionate people who have a
0011
01 particular organization or point of view. I was sent
02 here, much more, for information.
                MR. JOSTES: Okay.
03
04
                I just thought, while you're here, I'd ask.
05
                MR. JOHN BARD: I'm just not one of the
06 zealots on this issue.
07
                MR. JOSTES: Okay. Thanks.
0.8
                For those of you who just walked in,
09 we're -- we're -- because of the small size of the
10
    group, we're pretty much going around the room.
11
                If you've got some comments or questions
12 about the environmental issue associated with access in
13
    the Surprise Canyon, now's the time to speak up.
14
                We have a court reporter. So if you'd
15
    state your name for the record and fill out one of
16
    these little yellow sheets so we have a record, that
17
    would help.
18
                Do you have a comment to make?
19
                Okay.
20
                MS. INMAN-FERGUSON: Your name?
21
                MS. JERI FERGUSON: Chuck Williams.
22
                MR. CHUCK WILLIAMS: Chuck Williams.
23
                MS. INMAN-FERGUSON: Chuck Williams?
 24
                MR. JOSTES: Anybody else?
```

```
25
                MS. JERI FERGUSON: I already raised my
0012
01 comments.
02
                MR. JOSTES: We're saving them for last.
03
                MR. CHUCK WILLIAMS: Indicate her name.
04
                MS. INMAN-FERGUSON: I have it.
05
                MS. JERI FERGUSON: I've been at a few
06
    meetings. Jeri Ferguson.
07
                MR. JOSTES: Anybody like to bring up a
08
    point, make a question?
09
                Jeri?
10
                MS. JERI FERGUSON: I've been reading a lot
11 about the Desert Protection Act and Surprise Canyon --
12 or the Desert Protection Act, in general, and also the
13 new Boxer-proposed bill regarding corridors and
14
    cherry-stems.
15
                And the only thing that I can find in
16 there -- and I guess BLM needs to contact
17
    Senators Feinstein and Boxer to see what their intent
    was as far as cherry-stems and corridors go because in
19
    the wilderness, or the Desert Protection Act, it says
20 that there will be no buffers and that cherry-stemmed
21
   in -- whether or not noise and other aesthetic values
22 are -- wait. I didn't even bring it. I'll bring it
23 tonight. But basically it says that the uses that are
24 on those corridors should -- should not -- I mean, they
25 can't be stopped just because they are next to a
0013
01 wilderness. Buffers are not allowed.
02
                And so I guess, you know, with this being a
03 congressionally designated cherry-stem, I'd like to
04 know, you know, how all this other stuff can happen
05 and what Senator Boxer's and Feinstein's intent is.
06
                MR. JOSTES: Okay. Ma'am, do you have a
07
    comment?
08
                MS. MARGRET GRAMS: No. I've been to the
09
    previous two meetings.
10
                MR. JOSTES: Oh, you have. Okay.
11
                MS. MARGRET GRAMS: Margaret Grams,
12 G-r-a-m-s.
                No H's.
13
                MS. JERI FERGUSON: It's going to be a
14 short meeting.
15
                MR. JOSTES: It is going to be a short
16 meeting.
17
                Last opportunity to --
18
                MS. GOSS: I just have an informational
19
    question. My name is Kathy Goss, G-o-s-s.
20
                I was wondering whether this particular
21 corridor is still considered to be under the wild and
22 scenic river designation, or has that attempt been
23
    dropped in Surprise Canyon?
24
                Has it been dropped?
25
                MS. JERI FERGUSON: Dick would know.
0014
01
                MR. JOSTES: I -- I can't answer that
02 question, but I can put it down here so that it's --
                MS. KATHY GOSS: And then one other
03
```

```
04 question that came to my attention in the last couple
05 of days that apparently historically, there may have
06 been another route into Panamint City along the ridge.
07
    I have no idea since I don't know anything about any
08 off-road tracks in that area except the one we're
09
    talking.
10
                 But is that a fact that people do have
11
    access by ridge route into a Panamint City.
12
                 MR. JOSTES: Do you a feeling one way or
13
    another whether that should be considered as another
14
    alternative?
15
                  MS. KATHY GOSS: I just think that if
16
    there is a historically documented ridge route that it
17
    might be interesting to consider as an alternative.
18
                 MR. JOSTES: Okay.
                 MS. KATHY GOSS: But I'm not recommending
19
 20
    it because I don't know what the route is if you could
21 drive on it.
22
                 MR. JOSTES: Okay. Other questions,
 23 comments?
 24
                 Kathy?
25
                 MS. KATHY GOSS: No.
0015
                 MS. MARGRET GRAMS: If I may add --
01
02 Margaret Grams, again.
03
                 If I may add to what Kathy has just said,
04 it's my understanding that the older topos do show a
05
    trail. I have a feeling it may have been a trail over
06
    to {Hungary Bills that goes along the ridge.
                MR. JOSTES: Okay. That's helpful.
MS. JERI FERGUSON: And if -- you're -- I
07
80
09 guess if you're doing alternatives, I guess I should
10 throw out the few other alternative since we need
11
    alternatives.
                 There's also -- if it has to be limited to
12
    be limited by permit only or seasonal -- well, I don't
13
14
    know what's seasonal -- but seasonal or by permit are
    alternatives that could be looked at. Not that I'm
15
16 promoting that or anything, but --
17
                 And that should go for all people not just
18 motorized recreation, but it should be for all people.
19
                MR. JOSTES: Okay. That's it. That does
               It was a short meeting.
20 it folks.
21
                 Yes, sir.
22
                 MR. CHUCK WILLIAMS: I'd like to know --
23
                 Chuck Williams.
2.4
                 This was closed by court order; is that
25
    correct?
0016
01
                MR. JOSTES: That's correct.
                                               It's by a
    settlement agreement, as I understand it.
02
03
                 MR. CHUCK WILLIAMS: Closed by a court
04 order temporarily until this process is over, and
05
    that's one of the reasons we are doing this process?
06
                 MR. JOSTES: That's correct.
07
                 MR. CHUCK WILLIAMS: So if the court closes
08 this while we're doing this process, what is the
```

```
justification for keeping it closed? I'd like to see
10 some type of a -- a -- a peer-reviewed, scientist study
11
    that shows why Surprise Canyon should be closed, as
12
    opposed to having to prove why it should even be
13
    opened.
14
                MR. JOSTES: Why it should be closed or
15
    closed -- or--
16
                MR. CHUCK WILLIAMS: I would like to see a
17
    scientific study as to why it should be closed.
18
                MR. JOSTES: Okay. Other points?
19
                Yes.
20
                MR. JOHN BARD: John, again.
21
                I gather that this lawsuit from the Center
22 for Biodiversity is all a matter of public record.
23 I'm not acquainted with, specifically, what were the
    species that they claim were threatened if this had not
25 been temporarily closed. And I think it's along the
0017
01 same -- my question is along the same lines as Chuck's,
02 I'd like to know what's -- other than this "Cover
03 yourself" reaction so that we don't get into legal
04 trouble, what was the real justification? And that's
05 partly because people can argue that the closure has
06
    its own effect on these endangered species.
07
                MR. JOSTES: Thanks. Okay.
0.8
                Every time I say it's just about time to
09 wrap it up, we get some more questions; so let's have
10 some more questions or comments.
11
                Yes, ma'am.
12
                MS. MARGRET GRAMS: Margaret Grams, again.
13
                I would like to know what the numbers are
14
   in flash floods. I would like to know the flow -- flow
15 rate.
16
                MR. JOSTES: I interpret that to mean "peak
17
    flows."
18
                MS. MARGRET GRAMS: Yes.
19
                MR. JOSTES: Okay. Good.
20
                MR. JOHN BARD: John, were you facilitator
21 at the prior meeting --
22
                MR. JOSTES: Yes, I -- yes, I have been.
23
                MR. JOHN BARD: Would you -- would you be
24 willing to just give us a couple of minutes of what
25
    some of the major issues that were raised at those
0018
01 prior meetings or just for people who weren't at them
02 because this is a very small number of people, and
03 nobody is sticking out passionately --
04
                MR. JOSTES: Uh-huh.
05
                MR. JOHN BARD: -- saying wait a second.
06
    You better let me in there because you -- you -- you
07
    know, you're taking away my rights. Or at the
08 opposite, you better not let anybody in there because
09 you're messing up an area. I mean, this is a very
10 remote area.
11
                MR. JOSTES: Uh-huh.
12
                MR. JOHN BARD: It's very unique, also.
13
                MR. JOSTES: Yes.
```

14 MR. JOHN BARD: So can you just share with 15 us. 16 MR. JOSTES: I'd be happy to. 17 MR. JOHN BARD: Thank you. 18 MR. JOSTES: We -- along some of the lines 19 we've all ready spoken to, you've had testimony that this is a very unique and special place from a broad 21 range of perspectives -- from folks associated with conservation and the environmental organizations; as 2.2 23 well as, other users, vehicular, biker. Others say, 24 "This is a very special place," a heart and soul of 25 what we do and why we do it. 0019 01 There has been a theme of wanting to see 02 sound science used to determine what should happen from, again, a variety of perspectives, that they can 03 live with the outcome as long as it is based on sound 05 science. 06 We've heard a number of folks speak about 07 historical use back to the '60s, from '88 to '86 or '89 forward, that that affects the flash floods along with 09 biological resources, the resilience of the biological 10 resources. 11 We've had comments about the desire for 12 access for access for folks, people with disabilities 13 as well as their children who can't get in there. 14 We've heard alternatives -- new alternatives from the folks about -- that range from damming the canyon to 16 finding alternative routes. 17 We have heard comments about water quality, sedimentation, the quality of the water before there 18 19 was to be significant vehicle access in there. The 20 trash and removal by people who are using the canyon, 21 whether they are hikers or vehicular access. 22 There is a variety of "Keep it open." 23 "Keep it closed." We heard a lot about -- a lot of 24 points were made to the issue of the merits or demerits 25 of the proposed action. 0020 01 And there's many more that I can't quite, 02 you know, put into words, but there has been a wide variety of perspectives, many of which relate to the 03 04 EIS process and the alternatives; some were geared 05 toward the decision in the process of gathering and 06 analyzing. 07 Yes. 08 MS. KATHY GOSS: One I would ask is several 09 people -- a couple of people probably have asked this. 10 The tribes need to be included in this process. 11 MR. JOSTES: The tribes as well as an 12 environment justice issue. It's based on water supply. 13 So you know, part of the reason we're 14 having this documented by the court reporter is to provide full disclosure of what was spoken through these series of meetings. So mine are kind of from my 17 role of taking notes quickly. And in some cases people 18 would talk to several issues; other people were simply

19 focused on real folks. 20 MR. JOHN BARD: Thank you, John. 21 MR. JOSTES: And it's been helpful to try 22 to get a sense of what people think. 23 Yes? 24 (UNIDENTIFIED PERSON): This is more of a 25 statement than something that you want to write down up 0021 01 there. 02 But this -- you all know that this is the 03 eastern side of the Sierras over here, and everything 04 that go from the east from here is what is known as the "basin" an arranged topography of the -- of the 06 United -- Western United States. All these mountains 07 are a mountain and then a valley, a mountain and then a valley. And it's always in a constant state of 80 upheaval. The reason there's a canyon there is that 09 10 water naturally seeks its lowest level and it's cutting 11 Surprise Canyon all the time. 12 Depending on the amount of rainfall, and so 13 forth, you get tremendous flash floods that come down 14 through that canyon and remake the canyon all the time. 15 The mountain is still in a state of 16 upheaval, and it's going to continue to come down and 17 all the off-road people, all the hikers in the entire world do not have near the effect on that canyon as 18 19 Mother Nature does. 20 And if you just want to talk about air 21 quality and so forth, this particular fire season we've got going on right here in the amount of, you know, 23 pollutions in the air right now far exceed anything 24 that half the Jeeps in California could ever do in that 25 canyon. 0022 01 So sometimes I think we need to put these things in perspective as to what the overall plan of 02 nature is and not just necessarily what we might think in a short period of time in that canyon because we 05 make up just a -- just a drop in the bucket, a second 06 in the geological time in that canyon. Nothing we're doing over there is going to have as much effect on it 08 as Mother Nature. 09 MR. JOSTES: Uh-huh. Okay. 10 opportunity. Going once; going twice. 11 Yes, sir. 12 MR. JOHN MICHAEL DORAME: John Michael 13 Dorame, Inyo County Supervisor. 14 Was there any discussion or -- or anything 15 given to the Court at the time the decision was being 16 made in regard to the counties RS-2477 valid existing 17 rights for ingress and egress of Surprise Canyon that 18 dates back to the 1870s? 19 MR. JOSTES: I'm not aware of the specifics 20 of the lawsuit; so I couldn't respond to that. But 21 several other people have raised that. Last night and 22 the night before, we took it down. So that will go into the record. My understanding is that those are

```
24 separate processes, but I'm not with the -- with the
25 BLM; so I really can't give you an answer.
0023
01
                MR. JOHN MICHAEL DORAME: You know, it
02 raises the question of whether or not the Court was
03 aware that the county had valid and existing RS-2477
04 rights when the court entered the decision.
05 And if it rendered the decision so that BLM had to
06 take action to gate off the roadway -- then, you know,
    it falls into the same question of whether or not sound
08 science is being used to make some of these decisions.
09 If the Court did not have all the evidence in regard
10 to, you know, the history of the road, itself, then how
11 can the Court render a decision without all the
12 evidence?
13
                MR. JOSTES: That's a good point.
14
                MR. JOHN MICHAEL DORAME: That needs to be
15 visited legally.
16
                MR. JOSTES: We've got that.
17
                MR. JOHN MICHAEL DORAME: Thank you.
18
                MR. JOSTES: Okay. Other comments?
19 Michael?
20
                Okay. Is there anybody else who came in a
21
    little after we started and would like an opportunity
22 to make comments on the issues of focus for the
23 Environmental Impact Statement or the alternatives to
24 be considered in that document?
                Okay. Hearing none, we're going to bring
25
0024
01 our meeting to a close this afternoon.
02
                We'll reconvene this evening here at
03 seven o'clock for a second session. You all are
04
    welcome to come back and raise additional issues if
    you -- if you care to.
06
                I have been urging those who have spoken
07
    more than once to not repeat themselves, but if they
8 0
    have additional comments they wish to make, do so at
09
    that time.
10
                Thank you all for coming.
11
12
                 (Whereupon, at 3:26 p.m., the
                Scoping Meeting was concluded.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
 22
23
24
25
0025
01 STATE OF CALIFORNIA
01
                                        ss.
```

02	COUNTY OF KERN )
02	
03	
03	
04	
04	
05	
05	T. Till Tomas Barrers de barrela contific
06	I, Jill Inman-Ferguson, do hereby certify
07	that I transcribed the foregoing-entitled matter; and I
80	further certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and
09	correct transcription of such proceedings.
10 11	Dated this September 9, 2002, in Bakersfield, California.
12	Bakersileid, Calliornia.
13	
14	
15	
16	
16	Jill Inman-Ferguson
17	oill illian leigason
17	
18	
18	
19	
19	
20	
20	
21	
21	
22	
22	
23	
23	
24	
24	
25	
25	